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Summary: The combination of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ is effective for the selective methylenation of aldehydes. Selective methylenation of a ketone group is performed by pretreatment of a substrate with Ti(NEt $)_{4}$.

Chemoselectivity (referring to functional group differentiation) ${ }^{2}$ presents a major challenge in organic synthesis. Organochromium ${ }^{3}$ and -titanium ${ }^{4}$ compounds have been found to be useful in the chemoselective carbonyl additions. We report here aldehyde-5 and ketone-selective methylenation methods using gem-dimetallated carbodianion reagent ${ }^{6,7}$ derived from zinc reduction of diodomethane in the presence of Lewis acid.

Yields of the products obtained by methylenation of dodecanal and 4dodecanone with several reagents are summarized in Table 1 . The aldehyde:ketone selectivity of the Wittig reagent ${ }^{8}$ was not high in our hands (run 1). The methylenedianion synthon produced from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{Zn}$, and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}{ }^{6}$ affords a considerable amount of the pinacol-type diol and the yield of 1tridecene was $10 \%$ (run 2). The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ system ${ }^{9}$ was too reactive to give selectivity (run 3). In contrast, the combination of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}$ $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ (method A ) or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}^{6}$ was found to be highly effective for the aldehyde-selective methylenation (runs 4 and 5). 10,11

Treatment of 10 -oxoundecanal with the $T i\left(0^{i} P_{r}\right)_{4}$ or Me ${ }_{3} A l$ system afforded exclusively ll-dodecen-2-one ${ }^{12}$ arising from selective methylenation of the aldehyde moiety (Eq. 1). An aromatic keto aldehyde, 4-acetylbenzaldehyde, was also converted to the corresponding keto olefin in good yields with these two methods (Eq. 2).

On the other hand, selective methylenation of a ketone group was performed using the Reetz's method. ${ }^{13}$ The aldehyde group was protected in situ with $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NE}_{2}\right)_{4}$ in dichloromethane. Treatment of a mixture of 4 dodecanone and the protected dodecanal with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}^{-T i C l} 4$ system ${ }^{9}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 1 . Methylenation of a mixture of dodecanal and 4-dodecanone. ${ }^{\text {a }}$



| Run | Reagents (equiv) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temp } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Time <br> (h) | Yields ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | cov. |  | ov. 2) |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (1.0) | 0 | 0.5 | 12 | (88) | 64 | (19) |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}-2 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{C}} \\ & (1.5,4.5,1.1)^{-} \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 2 | 17 | (71) | 10 | (2) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{TiCl}_{4} \\ & (5.0,9.0,1.0) \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 0.5 | 53 | (25) | 78 | (0) |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4} \\ & (5.0,9.0,1.0) \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 5 | 0 | (97) | 86 | (6) |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al} \\ & (3.0,9.0,0.6) \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 2 | 0 | (100) | 89 | (6) |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{4}, & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{TiCl}_{4} \\ (1.1) & (5.0,9.0,1.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 25 | 0.5 | 95 | (0) | 0 | (95) |

a) A mixture of dodecanal ( 1.0 mmol ) and 4 -dodecanone ( 1.0 mmol ) was treated with a reagent in THF. b) GLPC yield (Silicone $0 V-17,105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). c) ref. 6 . d) Pinacol-type dimer of dodecanal was produced as a main product (42\%).
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1. $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{4} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
2. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{TiCl} 4 / \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$
3. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$
for 30 min gave $95 \%$ of 2-propyl-1-decene and the unchanged dodecanal (95\%) after workup (Method B, run 6). Ketone-selective methylenation of 10 oxoundecanal with Method B is shown in Eq 3 .

Aldehyde-selective methylenation (method A): To a stirring suspension of zinc ( $0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 ml ) is added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( $0.40 \mathrm{ml}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After 30 min , a THF solution of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ is added and the resulting mixture is stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . A solution of 10 -oxoundecanal ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (8 mL ) is then added. After being stirred for 3 h , the mixture is diluted with hexane ( 15 mL ), poured into $1 \mathrm{NHCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with hexane ( 3 x 20 mL ). The organic extracts are washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, $5: 1$ ) gives 0.15 g of 11 -decen- 2 -one ${ }^{12}$ ( $83 \%$ ).

Ketone-selective methylenation (method B): A solution of looxoundecanal ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ is treated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{4}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 30 min under an argon atmosphere. ${ }^{13}$ The resulting orange solution is added to a stirring suspension of the reagent ${ }^{9}$ prepared from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, zinc
 ( 10 mL ). After being stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , the mixture is diluted with hexane ( 15 mL ), poured into $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with hexane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The separated organic layer is washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Purification of the crude product by chromatography on an alumina column (hexane-ethyl acetate, 5:l) provides 0.14 g of 10 -methyl-10-undecena1 ${ }^{15}$ ( $76 \%$ ).
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